

30. Homosexuality

“I might as well be gay” said Richard Simmons, likely discouraged by women’s rejection. As a fellow straight man, I disagree. Besides, this subject is still woefully misunderstood. People of every opinion and orientation are misinformed. So this topic must be clarified, and I have extraordinary insight. Before revealing my position I give ample amounts of necessary background information.

Detecting Gayness

“Gaydar” doesn’t exist, and conjecture is unreliable. In yesteryear, everyone was closeted. Hiding in a closet would be illogical if it was transparent like a display case. Some used feigned obsession with the opposite sex, even vapid marriages, to avoid excoriation. Everyone not displaying pronounced heterosexual interest was pigeonholed and rejected or ejected from society. Oppression continues; most of those who discriminate against sexual non-conformists also discriminate against dateless bachelors. Often stemming from homophobia, men are aloof and antisocial towards other men.

Some falsely associate pink with gayness. Instead, zero percent of gay men prefer pink; most are adverse to the color, while perhaps one percent of straight men prefer it. Popular gay hangouts are unduly painted black; a man I knew who identified as gay always wore all black, another had a black refrigerator. Especially masculine men (“bears”) are a prominent feature of the gay community.(1) And drag queens, who dress like women, are often more aggressive than most men and have very masculine sexual behavior. So male femininity does not equal gayness.

Stereotypical mannerisms are sometimes obvious. However, some straight men adopt gay mannerisms and culture to be trendy. Such men, though seemingly gay, are actually metro-sexual.-2 Also, some gay men are overly straight in manner and appearance. One characteristic stands out: If a man’s best friend is a straight woman he’s probably gay. This is because women are uncomfortable around men whom they’re not romantically involved with unless this discomfort is removed, such as apparent lack of possible attraction. Few boyfriends or husbands would tolerate such closeness otherwise.

We CAN know when somebody is NOT gay or lesbian. If anyone has old fashioned ideas pertaining to religion, politics, pregnancy, child-rearing, race relations, women’s rights, divorce, and marriage, they’re not gay. This is logical induction; gays are Modernists.

From universal observation, gays are considered highly intelligent. Advocate magazine (June 19, 2007) declared “The smartest man in the world is gay.”(3) However, this can be subjective. While people with lower intelligence seem to be eliminated, as it takes extra intelligence to circumvent society’s norm, developmental disabilities such as Asperger’s and ADD/ADHD, along with social problems, are also disproportionately high.

Dishonest Right-Wing Tactics

Years ago, opposition to homosexuality was often misguided, such as enforcing “anti-buggery” or “anti-sodomy” laws by spying on people through peepholes, and police masturbating in public washrooms to entice and entrap men. When homosexual acts were illegal, blackmailers sprouted up like dandelions in response.(4) Largely due to gross negligence by the Reagan administration, the U.S. AIDS epidemic was allowed to rampage unabated and spread throughout the planet.

Protestant religious leaders stank too. Their convictions were understandable, but mixing religion with self-righteousness, fear, hatred, and ignorance was not. After the gay rights movement entered the national spotlight, preachers regularly railed that all homosexuals were potential child molest-

ers, brainwashers of children, serial killers, highly contagious disease carriers, plotters against the government, were satanic, and had horns popping out of their head.

Congregants objected to employers, landlords, and realtors having to hire or house gay people, and opposed aiding them in an emergency. Yet if everyone discriminated, all gay people would be homeless and could starve. And nobody helping in an emergency could be murder.

People confessed numerous types of unwanted behavior, so church members prayed for them and had organized support groups. However, "The cure for homosexuality was AIDS." Therefore, those who struggled with unwanted homosexuality hid themselves. So church leaders assumed that no churchgoer had such proclivities, yet continually mentioned homosexuality; nonsensical indeed. Apparently this issue was being used as a smokescreen to divert attention from the personal sins, faults, and problems of straight people. Magnifying gayness bedazzled and blinded people to other relevant issues, while the world drowned in numerous troubles. Since few people over the last centuries were even tempted by homosexuality, presto: a convenient scapegoat.

Catholics were hypocritical too: pedophile priests consecrated and distributed their Communion. If your own house is dirty, and you won't admit that hypocrisy undermines your position (the Catholic priesthood is the world's biggest closet, many churchgoers don't love their spouses, their rising divorce, et cetera), you've got problems.

Gay rights opponents' legal maneuvering still masks the lone reason for opposing alternative lifestyles: religion. That's because we Americans have separation of Church and State. To legally condemn actions or behaviors that aren't immediately harmful, one must support Church and State integration.

Homosexuals infiltrating and converting straight youngsters through molestation is nonsense. It would be like making people want a food they despise by force-feeding it. Contrarily, gays usually segregate themselves in enclaves such as West Hollywood (Los Angeles), Le Village Gai (Montreal), Greenwich Village (Manhattan), Boy's Town (Chicago), Fire Island (off New York's Long Island), Le Marais (Paris), and the Castro (San Francisco). Alternative people sometimes see straights as the infiltrators; the Castro district removed their Welcome mat.

Conservatives resist the concept of inborn homosexual tendencies, which is established beyond a reasonable doubt. To avoid looking silly, they should accept this reality; admitting such doesn't equal approval. Biological tendencies can be good (intelligence and strength), bad (handicaps), or neutral (blue or brown eyes).

Since many sexual nonconformists were made to feel so badly that their suicide rate was a dozen times higher than others, extra sensitivity and compassion was needed. Instead, "right-wingers" continued their obnoxious unloving attitude and thoroughly abused the Bible. In consequence of their self-righteousness and hypocrisy, pro-gay theology surfaced, culminating in the Metropolitan Community Church.

Because of shifting public opinion, some people reexamined this subject, and were surprised at the scope of gay theological knowledge. Demonstrably, the Bible never addresses loving, committed gay couples, while sporadic condemnation of homosexuality amongst early Church writings appears offset by those actually practicing the lifestyle. And there is no record of Jesus saying anything about homosexuality.

Dishonest Left-Wing Tactics

A popular gay agenda: outing everyone, includes revealing prominent historical figures as supposedly gay, eliciting popularity and social acceptance. People like Robin Hood and military leader Alexander the Great having practiced homosexuality is amazing. However, they weren't Christians. Neither were Giacomo Cassanova or Hugh Hefner, both having had numerous homosexual dalliances, though predominantly straight.(5,6) And claiming others as gay, such as Leonardo DaVinci, Pyotr Ilyich Tchaikov-

sky, and Oscar Wilde is unfair. These men considered their same-sex attraction an unwanted characteristic which distressed them. Besides, **homosexual orientation does not equal gay.** This three letter word is loaded with political implications, the liberal philosophy of cultural Marxism, and other baggage.

A coffee cup featured numerous fancy doors and shuttered windows. After pouring a hot drink, the doors dematerialized, revealing the “closeted gay people” of history underneath.(7) It didn’t matter whether they were openly gay, gay and closeted, had unwanted homosexual tendencies, or were straight in real life; the propaganda was alluring. Another tactic is accusing everyone with anti-gay sentiment of being subconsciously gay, fearful, and self-hating, and condemning anyone who doesn’t completely agree with gay agendas as hateful.

Bisexuals are variously considered supporters of the gay community instead of members, “confused,” “they’re all hustlers,” or even traitors (bi-phobia). Some ironically condemn transsexuals as “unnatural” or “weird.” Oftentimes “GLBTQQF” groups only pretend to care about those other categories of people and only support gays and lesbians. And bullying in secular schools has nothing to do with religion. Straights usually bully straights; gay students have bullied straight students.

The “ten percent gay” myth is a common tactic. This statistic originated from Alfred Kinsey’s survey involving only twelve thousand people, admittedly using selected samples, not random.-8 Subsequent surveys throughout the world, involving over a million people, discovered that it’s less than two percent.(9-12) Besides, countries lacking a survey are anti-gay. Since homosexuals would logically flee such countries and relocate elsewhere, this likely brings it down to below one percent worldwide. So if the number of people who identify as homosexual inflates dramatically, we know that being born with such proclivities cannot be the main factor for these subsequent generations. Also consider that if GLBT people have friends and family members joining them in a gay parade, these parades could be two-thirds straight.

Atheists surreptitiously befriend homosexuals, insisting that all religion harms alternative people. Even if believers are loving and caring, various atheists continue to pound their bass drum of rhetoric. My best friend’s atheist friend, a political campaigner, encouraged him to celebrate his gayness. Since my friend expressed hopelessness, filling his emptiness with chain-smoking and alcohol abuse, I introduced him to Christianity. After his atheist friend found out, she provoked my friend to spew irrational diatribe towards Christianity. However, a mutual friend who also identified as gay inquired about the woman’s son’s sexuality. The woman immediately became furious, declaring “That’s a parent’s worst nightmare.” Therefore, her phoniness was exposed. Besides, many **women gravitate towards gay men in order to use them; these relationships are not reciprocal. This is fully documented.**-13

Some anti-religious people associate with alternative people to get them to support their cause, while others surround themselves with those whom they look down upon, to feel superior. It has been said, (offensively) “A fag is a homosexual gentleman who has left the room.” Besides, we cannot dump on religion. Atheist governments have executed homosexuals because they supposedly weakened the State. Notably, **Jewish persons have done more to promote gay interests than any other group, while Jews are one of the most anti-gay groups of people. Internet videos document and explain this phenomenon.**14

Loving Committed Couples and Same-Sex Romance

The early Church decried unrestrained debauchery, not same-sex attraction or romance. Homophobia, demonizing gayness, and denying basic rights is a Protestant creation. Barring gays from teaching is also unfounded; ancient Greek teaching mentors were sexually diverse. We take many ideas from them including the term Rhodes Scholar.

Love is so astronomically important and scarce, some search for it anywhere. However, youths typically “come out” as teenagers, but don’t commit to stable loving relationships until their late forties. Lives are spent on spiderwebby trysts unable to produce love. **Comparing thousands of men-**

seeking-men Internet advertisements against thousands of men-seeking-women and women-seeking-men advertisements is enlightening. Heterosexual advertisements are predominantly relationship oriented, while virtually all male homosexual advertisements are primarily sex oriented; nude pictures abound. Men see their partner's privates before even knowing their name.

A common refrain amongst gays is that "Gay relationships are measured in dog years" (they usually last a fraction of the length of straight relationships). This may be from parents having taught their boys a heterosexual outlook: respect towards women and rivalry towards males, while failing to address sexuality per se, resulting in a dysfunctional dichotomy. And fully committed loving lifetime relationships amongst gay men are rare. Many "partnerships" are open relationships. Even with those who desire committed relationships, how many are merely motivated by financial and legal benefits?

The GLBT (gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender) rainbow flag was designed in 1978 by Sister of Perpetual Indulgence Gilbert Baker ("Sister Chanel 2001"). The Sister's primary focus is kinky promiscuous sex, pagan spirituality, and anti-Catholic filibustering.(15,16) Although these extremists do not represent the gay community at large, gays, both secular and religious, have gotten their impetus from the Sisters.

Late Fox Valley Gay Association president Lee Schrank, his committed partner Bill, and Vern virtually ran and campaigned for the entire organization for a quarter century while others sat back. Lee bitterly said "Eighty-five percent of them [gay men] aren't worth the powder it takes to blow them away with," and he had met thousands. After Lee's passing, deaths from AIDS and uncaring non-participation caused FVGA's dissolution, and Bill became reclusive.

A foremost mover and shaker within the gay community, Larry Kramer, preached on *The Tragedy of Today's Gays*. To further illustrate his point, Larry's satirical novel *Faggots* pornographically punctuated Fred Lemish's entanglement in gay culture's labyrinthine web, amidst futile pursuit of elusive lover Dinky Adams. Larry's novel also featured a henpecked husband who wasn't even allowed to use his own toilet, which would have prevented his wife from keeping it so sparkling clean, you could eat out of it.17-19

In the 1980s, Bill Kraus, tireless congressional gay advocate, felt crushed by opponents who labeled him a self-hating tyrant who dared infringe on near-anonymous sexual encounters or "freedom." Twenty years of subsequent decimation by AIDS forcibly changed their agenda.(20) Yet promiscuity and unprotected sex have reverted to pre-AIDS rates. Masking STD cases, such as acquiring catatonic schizophrenia through "unknown illness" creates the dangerous delusion of safety. Although health workers inundate gay hangouts with condoms, most condoms merely decorate venues instead of being used.

Besides, extreme practices exist among homosexuals which even most gays find offensive. Although only one to five percent practice these things, around zero percent of heterosexual couples practice them. Clearly, many lack the healthy boundaries and nurturing qualities that women provide.21

Sexual Orientation and Fluidity

Though Alfred Kinsey's overall research was dubious, his categorizing individuals along a continuous sexual orientation spectrum was remarkable. On his "Kinsey Scale" 0 represented exclusive heterosexuality; 6 represented exclusive homosexuality. Many people fell midway between the sexual orientation continuum.22

Today, numerous sexual orientation tests exist. I've taken every one available, including Kinsey's original. Most will describe you as either gay, straight, or bisexual. However, every test has the same false assumption that sexual experience is always a big factor in sexual orientation, when availability of particular partners is the primary factor. For example, a sizable number of boys experiment sexually. Some attended all boy's schools, with limited exposure to females.

Also, a question such as “Are you physically attracted to both men and women?” is useless with the two option binary: yes or no. Without asking to what degree engenders an either or fallacy. Almost everyone is attracted to both sexes somewhat. Even the question “Who do you think about sexually: opposite sex, same sex, or both?” has limited value without asking how frequently for each. Summarily, these tests are beyond useless. The idea of an orientation test is brilliant, but these tests are too poorly designed.

Another false assumption is that all straight men are repulsed by gay porn; without being offended, they cannot be straight. Now I find worms repulsive, but some have eaten piles of live worms like spaghetti at a fine Italian restaurant. We realize it’s absolutely no indication of an orientation toward worms. It’s simply a personality variation. Likewise, we should realize that indifference towards porn is relatively meaningless.

Actually, if a man had dozens of sexual encounters with both men and women, asking specific questions about his ability to maintain an erection and ejaculate in each case would logically be a precise, infallible determinant of sexual orientation. Instead, even doctors, sociologists, and psychologists are often clueless about sexuality. Consequently, millions are being steered in a direction entirely unsuitable.

Despite residing on either pole, nobody is insurmountably affixed into either delineation. Gay men fall into two groups: those with heterosexual experience who have functioned adequately, and those who never had opposite-sex relations. Homosexual tendencies can be cultivated and germinate, while heterosexual functionality is allowed to wither, giving the illusion of absolutes. **No brain or bodily configuration prevents heterosexual function, or any structure missing in homosexualists which is present in heterosexuals. Therefore, anyone with sexual function can potentially function heterosexually. In time, individuals can be somewhat reoriented, demonstrated by straight men practicing homosexuality in prison.** Besides, cultures such as ancient Greece were almost universally bisexual or ambisexual. A modern example is holocaust victim Anne Frank, who expressed bisexual fantasies in her diary. Anne was raised in sexually liberal Amsterdam.²³

Early gay rights activist Gore Vidal stated: “There is no such thing as a homosexual or heterosexual person. There are only homo- or heterosexual acts.”⁽²⁴⁾ A man in a social group I belonged to was currently married with children, and had extremely few homosexual experiences, yet identified as gay. Another man in a nightclub identified as gay, yet said the best sex he ever had was with a woman. These circumstances prove that the gay label is highly politicized. Before the time of widespread political correctness, Gay Association administrator Gene Fowler, who I met in 1986 along with his partner Wayne, admitted that fifty percent of “gays” quit that involvement and become satisfactorily married. Besides, the idea that homosexuals demand a specific gender is completely unbiblical.²⁵

Animals and Homosexuality

Animal reactions often correspond with human reactions. Canaries were used in coal mines to warn miners of toxic gas. If the bird, with a more sensitive system, dropped dead, the miners exited the mine before the toxic gas overcame them too. Also, the presence of animals in some geographic areas indicates a food and water supply. Their absence indicates lack of food and drinking water.

Animal homosexuality is used to support the idea that homosexuality is a natural preference. Yet mammals cannot turn their drive on and off. In male animals especially, when no female is around, when the female is especially difficult, or when dominant males monopolize the females, homosexuality sometimes occurs. It occurs not as a preference but as a substitute, as with a flat car tire, we substitute the spare “doughnut” tire. Here, human behavior mirrors animal behavior. And same-sex pairing in penguins proves nothing, since unlike most animals, male and female penguins look exactly alike! And those same-sex pairings only last a couple years until the penguins mate with the opposite

sex when they're older. Besides, some animals mate with their parents; others eat their mate after sex; using animal relations to justify human relations is nonsense.

Marriage and Adoption

Ancient same-sex unions were termed Adelphopoiesis (“brother-making”) akin to other blood brother rituals.⁽²⁶⁾ People today don't understand the important historical concept of males loving each other non-sexually (like biblical characters David and Jonathan), because of the absence of love today. The similarities between these relationships and gay marriage are like similarities between hot tubs and vats of acid; the similarities are irrelevant. Today the most common type of same-sex union is two men being business partners.

Gay families consisting of domestic partnerships with companion animals as “children” are already advantageous by having no divorce and subsequent child support payments, and no burden of raising children. They already receive legal benefits, and can mentor children through nephews, nieces, and teaching positions. So same-sex marriage and adoption are attempts to acclimatize under societal pressure and assuage guilt by garnering Church acceptance. Even the man knighted by Advocate magazine as the smartest man in the world opposed same-sex marriage; he felt that people were vainly trying to shoehorn gays into a straight institution.

Ancient society didn't divide people into hetero- or homosexuals, or have gay and straight labels. Sexual acts into those that had procreative function (whether they actually produced children or not), and those that did not. Rejecting same-sex marriage only because couples cannot naturally produce children would also exclude the elderly and all other infertile people. And resting one's case completely upon incongruent sex organs reduces people to crotches.

However, a legitimate reason for rejecting gay marriage would be a lack of proper gender role dichotomy. It's been said “Marriage is marriage, I don't gay park my car, I just park it.” But if you insert your car in the wrong spot, it might get towed away. And marriage is supposed to be a lifetime commitment. Men who choose promiscuous party lifestyles, and then marry at fifty because they lost their attractiveness, sex drive, and energy, or want to gain public acceptance is on another planet from young heterosexual couples who want to marry because they are in love and are planning a family. Throughout Jewish and Christian histories, nuclear families de facto consisted of men and women naturally producing children. Gay marriage or adoption mimics and convolutes someone else's ideas.

To support same-sex marriage, some argue that everyone's orientation should be catered to. But catering to bisexuals would necessitate marriage to both a man and woman in three-way marriage. Since most everyone recognizes that as a case where orientation should NOT be catered to, same-sex marriage advocates often deny that anyone is truly bisexual. Yet same-sex rights supporters already declared that everyone is inherently bisexual (undoubtedly true to some extent) two generations ago, when same-sex marriage was not on the agenda.

What if a boy and his widowed grandmother have mutual sexual attraction, want to marry, and they found a gene which causes that? Despite how many people might hate that comparison, by throwing away traditional values, you cannot judge or oppose the boy and his grandmother; you have no leg to stand on when you violate boundaries. I do admit, I'm a polygamist. That's my sexual orientation, along with most men. That is, we are hardwired to be sexually aroused by millions of women. Yet we live in extreme contrast to that; most men have one woman only.

So which desires should we sacrifice to healthy nuclear families? Ones that rob children of the optimal environment of having both a mother and a father? Constantly pointing at single parents on welfare, abusive households, and other broken situations to make same-sex households look good is a diversion tactic. The fact is, all gay households automatically deprive children of the possibility of being raised by a loving mother. All lesbian households automatically deprive children of the possibility of being raised by a loving father.

So straight society should stop their hypocrisy, so there is no excuse to hide behind. Although Jesus may have never mentioned homosexuality, His own recorded words and His disciple's words explicitly spelled out the terms and conditions of marriage, interpersonal relationships, and family life. Their priority was preventing heterosexual relationships from breaking down, so traditional nuclear families were healthy to begin with.

I respect anyone who had homosexual feelings from adolescence. However, I have no respect for anyone who "discovers they are gay" after being married with children, when things don't go their way. It is a betrayal of their families with whom they made a commitment; and a punch in the mouth to everyone who felt that way all their life. A man married to a flat-chested woman who discovers his orientation to big breasts has no moral right to divorce his wife and marry someone busty; the same logic applies.

Biological Determinants

Everyone has inborn biological tendencies for various behaviors. However, there's a huge difference between tendencies and absolutes. Now supposedly, high levels of "female" hormones or lowered levels of "male" hormones in the womb creates homosexual males. Yet the "male" hormone testosterone increases already existent sexual longings in both males and females, and increasing the ratio of "female" hormones (estrogens) dampens sexual longings in both sexes; they don't affect one's orientation. Women are constantly awash in "female" hormones, yet never seek dozens of anonymous sexual encounters, a predominant characteristic of young urban gay men.

A supposed biological determinant for homosexuality involved identical twins. However, with pairs of identical twins where one claims homosexuality the identical (monozygotic) twin is often *not* homosexual. Since such twins are always genetically identical, homosexuality must always be present for any legitimate biological claim.

Moreover, genes are elements which precisely determine hereditary characteristics. Genes reside within chromosomes, which are simply one or two DNA double helices in formation, depending on the phase of the cell cycle. And RNA is simply what DNA synthesizes to communicate its genetic instructions. Summarily, the entire multiplex is essentially DNA. Now DNA is renowned in forensic science as a virtually infallible and precise determinant. For example, a mass murder suspect who declined to give a DNA sample was caught by analyzing his daughter's DNA which was compared to the crime scene DNA. That's because one strand of each child's DNA double helix always mirrors half of the mother's double helix exactly, and the other side of that child's DNA double helix always mirrors half of the father's double helix exactly. Therefore, **a child's biology always mirrors their parent's characteristics exactly.** Consequently, for someone to have a "gay gene," one parent must be predominantly homosexual, or both parents must have strong homosexual tendencies.

Besides all genes having a precise function, the function of most genes is manifest. Example: blood type is controlled by the ABO gene on chromosome 9, breaking down of medium-chain fatty acids is controlled by the ACADM gene on chromosome 1, and ribbon synapses, which allow the eye to track moving objects, are controlled by the Pikachurin gene on chromosome 5. With rapid advances in genetics, any possibility of a gay gene is rapidly running out. Therefore, sexual preference cannot be purely biological. Someone said "Your understanding of DNA is poor." Yet everyone outside of professional scientists or medical specialists has a poor understanding. But that's irrelevant, since it is common knowledge that we cannot possess a physical trait not found in our parent's gene pool, outside of birth defects. Besides, those who possess an excellent understanding of DNA have written full books which refute the notion of any gay gene.(27) Yet those who have a poor understanding of DNA declare that such knowledgeable authors are completely biased.

Therefore my explanation of genes and biological determinants is extremely brief for this complicated topic. Many will claim that biology creates gay people no matter what the evidence shows.

They will simply claim that we don't know everything, and some unknown or better yet unknowable particle causes gayness. Furthermore, those who identify as gay or lesbian generally reject every single traditional value. Since a gene for rejecting traditional values is nonsensical, this is another fatal flaw to the idea of truly being born gay.

Evolution?

If there is a gay gene, what causes it to override the straight genes? Besides not having any physical structure that's different from "straights," "gay" men's penises shoot sperm (not rainbow candy) designed to fertilize a woman's egg cell, and "lesbian" women have egg cells that react with sperm; they are not chocolate candy eggs. Jokingly, it was said that the actor Rock Hudson was *Homo homo*. But seriously, I expect that either someone produce their *Homo homo* or dispose of their Modernist dogmatism.

Since alternative people were bashed with the biblical creation story, neo-Darwinism was embraced, since it radically changed how alternative lifestyles were viewed. And how life originated has major implications in how we should live it. Yet if life evolved, natural selection and survival of the fittest would have weeded out homosexuality, since survival requires reproduction. However, the phantasmagorical theory of Evolution made confetti out of life's purpose by insinuating that purposes and functions perpetually change and are random, not God-directed.

Good Vibrations

So-called gay men commonly assert "I am not physically/sexually attracted to women whatsoever." Yet most men who identify as gay never had sexual contact with a woman. This invalidates their claim, as we cannot know whether we would like an unappealing food without actually trying it.

Any healthy fellow can be somewhat aroused by stimulation by either males and females. He may be turned off by knowing the person's gender. However, in the dark with unknown people, there are a dizzying array of variations in body parts and techniques. In cases where an erect penis encounters a sufficiently tight vagina, something extraordinary happens. Due to the unique construction of vaginas, rubbing one's penis inside causes intense tickling vibrations, then ejaculation. Since all vaginas are built the same way, as are penises, and ejaculation is always pleasurable, every virile man can easily be aroused by a woman. Again, every virile male can be aroused by another man in extraordinary circumstances. Nobody is truly straight or gay; these made-up labels were historically nonexistent. But here is the tiebreaker: Everyone is physically built for heterosexual procreation, not homosexual procreation.

Furthermore, vaginas are acidic, inhospitable to most germs; yet anuses, part of the digestive system, are absorbent, picking up everything. This always makes homosexual intercourse far more risky than standard heterosexual intercourse.

Many claim to have been gay since childhood. Yet as children we were all gay so to speak: we avoided girls, gravitated toward boys, and when teachers pressured us to dance, boys danced with boys. Later, most boys made a transition, some did not. And everyone has some same-sex attraction. Most have a tiny bit while some have a lot, which sometimes becomes sexualized.

Besides, no matter how "straight" or macho young men are, they're nervous the first time they're with a woman; a natural shell is present. Like grains of sand within oysters, layers upon layers may form instead of a natural shell being removed. Opposite sex attraction may become obfuscated. Consider that males are strongly admonished not to invade female's privacy or touch them inappropriately from childhood. This taboo is lacking towards other males, with whom they share washrooms, lockers, showers, sleeping quarters, and body contact with physical sports. The taboo against close contact with females can be overly ingrained or taken too deeply to heart.

Over the last thirty years music videos have been blasting from gay bars, dance clubs and nightclubs. They predominantly feature women, not men, the most popular having been Madonna's *Give*

It To Me.(28) On a giant screen this sex-saturated video, an extreme expression of a woman's sexuality towards men, not women, is brutally obvious. And gay men don't want to be women, so that is ruled out. Actually, these videos publicly expose the patron's subconscious desires. But like The Emperor's New Clothes, most cannot see the obvious.

Lesbianism

"Lesbian" stems from the great poet Sappho of Lesbos, Greece (circa 600 BC). However, Sappho seemingly exhibited more heterosexuality than homosexuality; she was no lesbian.(29) And lesbians are invariably feminists. Interestingly, two interlocking Venus symbols indicated feminism while three interlocking Venus symbols indicated lesbianism. Use of the symbols reversed; two interlocking Venus symbols became lesbianism; three interlocking Venus symbols became feminism.(30) Like gay men, nearly one hundred percent of lesbians are pro-abortion, and reject other traditional values. For an expose' of abortion, see 26.Social Lunacy under The Very Young. They also have a higher percentage of family dysfunction and abuse in their history than straight women. But on the contrary, women seeking women Internet advertisements are primarily relationship oriented as heterosexual advertisements are. Lesbianism depicted on camera is staged to appeal to male fetishes.

For argument's sake let's say 25% of women embraced sapphism. With an approximately equal ratio of males to females, this would force many males to either have nobody or seek homosexual relations which are unsuitable for them. Also, considering sapphist households equal to traditional families would imply that men are unnecessary or disposable components of the family. And artificial insemination always prevents children from being conceived by an act of love or physical intimacy. Therefore, alternative lifestyles cannot equal well-functioning heterosexuality.

Romans 1:26, the only Christian scripture mentioning female homosexuality, is perfectly tied to verse 27, which condemns male homosexuality. Pro-gay theologians commonly assert that this only refers to homosexual cult prostitutes. Yet there is no evidence whatsoever in recorded history of lesbian cult prostitutes or female cult prostitutes servicing women. And female prostitutes do not service women. These facts explode that pro-gay interpretation.

Extreme Distortion

Society crumbled before the distortion that you must either support gayness or hate gay people. Yet orientation and lifestyle are worlds apart. If one spends all their free time in bars, getting drunk, gossiping, gyrating to blasting music, pursuing endless one-night stands and other hedonism, and holding superficial ideals (a common lifestyle of "gay" youths), they are wasting their life. However, if a homosexually oriented man like Leonardo DaVinci dedicates his life to using his creative genius to make the world a better place, that is wonderful.

A makeup artist adorned two drag queens in gobs of garish makeup, platform heels, and outlandish costumes. She tearfully exclaimed "I wish my uncle and father had the opportunity to express their true selves." Yet when a homosexually oriented man endures a difficult marriage, finding his identity as a loving father and grandfather, or becomes a monk wearing a simple frock who finds his identity as God's servant, there's no celebration. These are the true heroes, not people in drag or wearing sexual fetish gear who pursue selfish lifestyles.

Paraphrasing former television priest John Corapi: A priest related to me that as a seminarian he was part of a group involved in orgies, drugs, and riding around in limousines to wild parties for twenty years. One morning, he had an epiphany and called his superiors for a transfer to escape that situation. He began to live a saintly life, becoming one of the best priests I know.³¹

Nobody is preprogrammed into homosexuality, though strong tendencies exist. Some who promote the idea of absolutes label anyone with any same sex attraction gay, assume that those who nev-

er wereg with a woman wouldn't like it, and ignore opposite sex attraction, to prevent their viewpoint from collapsing.

Note this Brief Timeline

Dawn of Humanity: BCs to AD 1800:

The pagan world generally practiced or approved of homosexuality along with regular heterosexuality and other sexual practices now considered taboo. Historical people were often omniseual: seeking arousal by virtually anything. Some rejected homosexuality as a sign of weakness or as hindering the production of children. Among Adamic, Judaic, and Christian people, homosexuality was rejected across the board.

Exclusive homosexuality was not practiced by any group. No man in recorded history was ever documented as being gay, or being in an exclusive and loving same sex partnership.(32,33) Homosexuality was understood as a form of debauchery, which is why the Bible never mentions same-sex couples. And whenever early Church fathers mentioned homosexual acts, they always labeled them sinful.

Early 1800s: World divorce rate less than 2%

19th to early 20th centuries: First Wave Feminism begins-34

1890: World divorce rate roughly 5%

1915: World divorce rate roughly 10%

Late 19th century to mid 20th century: Gay underground formed (balls, cabarets, and speakeasies such as New York's Club Abbey and activity near Germany's Nollendorfplatz)

1935: World divorce rate roughly 15%

1939-1945: World War II: gender role dichotomy changes from men being away from home

1950: First known gay organization founded; the Mattachine Society-35

1955: World divorce rate roughly 30%

Early 1960s: Second Wave Feminism begins-36

1965: World divorce rate roughly 35%

1969: The "Stonewall Riots" outside of New York City's Stonewall Inn on Christopher Street, begins major gay liberation(37) {Most "gay" men practice exclusive homosexuality}

Early 1990s: Third Wave Feminism begins-38

1995: World divorce rate roughly 55%

2004: Beginning of legalized same-sex marriage; Cody Rogahn and Johnathan Yarbrough, the first couple ever, announce that this marriage is an "open relationship" (they are swingers).-39

2008-today: Aggressive women run for President and Vice President of the United States and elsewhere

2015: World's first three way marriage involving all men (Thailand)-40

Present: Women's bigotry against straight men now worldwide; same-sex marriage legal throughout the United States and many other countries

Furthermore

Most people fail to express their true selves. Many are in denial, others are closeted. This failure is deadly. Specifically, everyone's true self, without exception, is being a child of God. Since God is the source of all life, failure to embrace this identity is a failure to embrace life itself. And since God expects us to magnify Him before all, silence equals death. Perhaps from God's viewpoint, identifying yourself by whose sex organs and physical features you are or aren't attracted to (whether the same or opposite sex) is no more important than identifying yourself by which day of the week you were born.

Besides, identifying ourselves by what pleases US, sexual or not, is selfish. So besides identifying as God's creature, identify yourself by what you do for others.

Young people need acceptance. We must also accept that they *feel* gay or lesbian. However, labeling them as such is unwise; fifty percent of youths completely abandon that identity later on. Acting upon it must be discouraged. After acquiring an incurable disease, a young man's chances of ever having his own family are destroyed just because he was encouraged to experiment.(41,42) Some parents want their children loud and proud, approving of older gays for "guidance." Yet putting children at risk is not acceptance. Consider that the connection between homosexuality and pedophilia is well documented.(43,44). Also, twenty percent of rapes and sexual assaults were male-on-male at a time when less than two percent of males identified as gay. Per serial murderers in the same time period, 33.4% were homosexual or bisexual.(45,46) That's beside their lower life expectancy, which is solidly established.47

Singling out sexual nonconformists is anti-Christian and ignorant. Therefore the Apostle Paul harmonizes them among regular people, such as adulterers, drunkards, thieves, and liars, who also must repent (Corinthians 6:9-10, Timothy 1:9-10). I won't launch into a long dissertation which debates the meaning of the underlying Greek word *arsenokoites* in these passages or wrestle over other Scriptures. That approach can be found elsewhere on the Internet.(48) Besides, the universal Church appointed by Jesus Christ two thousand years ago as the final authority made their position clear. Yes, Christian believers must repent of their hypocrisy before criticizing anyone else. However, the hypocrisy of others doesn't justify substituting counterfeit Christianity for truly being born again.

Despite sporadic practice by some, the Abrahamic religions officially considered homosexuality absolutely unacceptable throughout their history. And historically, eastern religions considered homosexual behavior "a wrong action." (49,50) Science neither supports nor justifies alternative lifestyles either. Many people are in difficult, inextricable situations, such as those paralyzed or blind, and must make do the best they can. A million men throughout history were single, celibate, and survived. Everyone, despite their proclivities, is expected to present their bodies as living sacrifices, holy unto GOD. Note *Clement of Alexandria's Paedagogus Book II Chapter X and Methodius's Banquet of the Ten Virgins*. Besides, some aspects of the gay movement not only ignore aeons of history, they violate logic; they are square circles, four-sided triangles, dry water, and cold fire.

I want "gays" to get married. Hopefully they will find suitable opposite-sex partners. Technically, you cannot marry a same-sex partner any more than I can marry my computer; it's not marriage. People throughout history understood that. Regardless, the primary purpose of marriage was never to fulfill individual desires; its purpose is to create and maintain the optimal society.

Love those who live alternative lifestyles (not "gays," as there is no third sex) by treating them fairly. Since everyone will face God, and I want to be warned when I'm going the wrong way and committing fatal, damnable error, I warn others. Life is short, so don't jeopardize your chances of attaining Heaven, forever united with the embodiment of Love, just because you don't understand His will.

Truth

Homosexuality existed throughout history; its causes may be inborn tendencies, poor parenting, trauma, environmental factors, immorality, narcissism, immaturity, excessive lust, and choice. The Apostle Paul identified the chief cause as turning away from God. He was undoubtedly right, but that was two thousand years ago; today is much different. And I'm talking about EXCLUSIVE homosexuality: gay and lesbian identity, which never existed before around 1890. Widespread feminism, which poisons the man/woman relationship, was also absent before around 1870. Since these movements paralleled each other, the *chief* cause in our age should be obvious. The brilliant Dr. Joseph Nicolosi concludes that 100% of cases of someone identifying as homosexual were caused by a dysfunctional family dynamic.(51) I

agree with him! But I must add that the dysfunction is always rooted in feminism. And a chief result of feminism is rejection by and mistreatment from the opposite sex.

For a completely “straight” society we must eliminate judgmental condemnation by the opposite sex and domination by members of one’s own sex, eliminate “no fault” divorce where you can literally suffer divorce on your wedding day, improve bad schools and neighborhoods unsuitable for raising children, and eliminate bureaucratic waste so more money goes to husbands to support their families.

People recognize that regarding other aspects of life, if someone’s situation is unacceptable and an alternative exists, the alternative will usually be chosen. So recognize it here. Most people certainly find it easier to connect with members of their own sex. Some men I knew began identifying as gay after their ex-wives refused to cooperate in the bedroom or spent all their money. Another man failed to find either a desirable opposite sex partner or same sex partner, so he began to call himself asexual. With further erosion of traditional man/woman interactions, alternative lifestyles will surely become commonplace. So to live heterosexual lifestyles, healthy heterosexual relationships must be available.

Again, rejection by women encourages male homosexuality. This reason was unknown in ancient times because feminism was nonexistent; a man who wanted a wife simply took one. But since men burn with lust (Romans 1:27) and it is better to marry than burn (Corinthians 7:9) the result of being unable to find wives should be obvious.

People say that we cannot know the truth about this subject, it’s too complicated. Rather, they don’t want to know. Instead, “You shall know the truth; the truth shall make you free”-----
Jesus Christ; John 8:32

